

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9158 P07693US01/RFH 10/620,769 07/17/2003 Peter Graham Foster EXAMINER 881 7590 10/11/2006 NGUYEN, TANH Q STITES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

2182

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,769	FOSTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 			
b) 🛛 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS 2. The proposed emendment(s) filed offer a final rejection, but prior to the data of filing a beint will not be automated by a second size of the second			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			
(c) 🔲 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 			
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:			

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The numerous amendments to the claims significantly change the scope of the claims, for example

measuring a respective time interval intervals between said first and second event triggering signals in said master USB device for each of said USB devices; and determining a propagation time from said master USB hostdevice to each of said USB devices from said respective time intervals; and determining thea relative propagation time for each of said USB devices other than said reference USB device with respect to said reference USB device by determining thea difference in said propagation time between said reference USB device and each of said USB devices other than said reference USB device;...determining thewhat if any temporal adjustment or phase offset efis required for each of said local clocks required to result in said plurality of local clocks across said USB tree being in phase;... in lines 33-45 of claim 32; and

sending an initiating trigger request signal with said USB host to each of said USB devices to prepare said USB devices to <u>each</u> execute said trigger request at a common time;...decoding said trigger command with each of said USB devices in lines 11-19 of claim 34.

The change to the scope of the claims would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, please note that the examination is **based on what the claims recite**, not what the claims imply or what the applicant intends for the claims to be.

TANH Q NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

September 30, 2006

Page 2

TQN

September 30, 2006